1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Larry A. Hammond, 004049 Anne M. Chapman, 025965 OSBORN MALEDON, P.A. 2929 N. Central Avenue, 21st Floor Phoenix, Arizona 85012-2793 (602) 640-9000 lhammond@omlaw.com achapman@omlaw.com John M. Sears, 005617 P.O. Box 4080 Prescott, Arizona 86302 (928) 778-5208 John.Sears@azbar.org Attorneys for Defendant	2009 DEC 22 PM 2: 19 CLEAK S Smisko BY: S Smisko
11	IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA	
12	IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF YAVAPAI	
13	STATE OF ARIZONA,	No. P1300CR20081339
14	Plaintiff,) Div. 6
15	vs.	DEFENDANT'S MOTION IN LIMINE RE COUNSEL AS
16	STEVEN CARROLL DEMOCKER,	WITNESS
17 18	Defendant.	(Oral Argument Requested)
19		
20	Defendant Steven DeMocker, by and the	rough his counsel, hereby moves this
21	Court for an Order in limine precluding the State from calling John Sears as a witness in	
22	this case. This motion is supported by the following Memorandum of Points and	
23	Authorities.	
24	ARGUMENT	
25	The State has listed Mr. Sears as a witness and have indicated that the want to	
26	call him to discuss the circumstances under which he came into possession of a golf	
27		
28		
1	I	

club head cover from Mr. DeMocker. After the cover was turned over to the police when requested on October 23, 2008, Mr. Sears met the next day with the two deputy County Attorneys then responsible for this case, and offered to enter into an appropriate written stipulation regarding the chain of custody of the cover. As the case was just beginning, that matter was not discussed in any detail and no agreement was reached. Since then, Mr. Sears has made the same offer to Mr. Butner, who has indicated that he still wants Mr. Sears' live testimony.

ER 3.7 describes the general rule that a lawyer should not serve as both an advocate and a witness, to avoid prejudice to the tribunal or the opposing party or to avoid a conflict of interest with his own client. An exception to that rule is found when disqualification of the lawyer would "work substantial hardship" on the client. ER 3.7(a)(3). In this case, the State has never filed a motion to disqualify Mr. Sears. Of course, Mr. Sears may not be examined in the State's case-in-chief about privileged communications with his client about the transfer of the head cover and related events. Given the proposed chain of custody stipulation, then, it would seem that Mr. Sears has offered to stipulate to all that the State could elicit from him on this topic. Certainly, disqualifying Mr. Sears four months before trial, after more than seventeen months has elapsed, would work an incredible hardship on Mr. DeMocker. Accordingly, thos motion should be granted and the State should be required to acceot the proposed stipulation of counsel.

CONCLUSION

Defendant Steven DeMocker hereby requests an Order *in limine* precluding the State from calling John Sears as a witness in this case.

DATED this 22d day of December, 2009.

By:

John M. Sears

P.O. Box 4080

1		Prescott, Arizona 86302
2		OSBORN MALEDON, P.A.
3		Larry A. Hammond Anne M. Chapman 2929 N. Central Avenue, Suite 2100
4		Phoenix, Arizona 85012-2793
5		Attorneys for Defendant
6	ORIGINAL of the foregoing	
7	filed this 22 day of December, 2009, with:	
8	Jeanne Hicks	
9	Clerk of the Court Yavapai County Superior Court	
10	120 S. Cortez Prescott, AZ 86303	
11	COPIES of the foregoing delivered	
12	this 22 day of December, 2009, to:	
13	The Hon. Thomas B. Lindberg Judge of the Superior Court	
14	Division Six 120 S. Cortez	
15	Prescott, AZ 86303	
16	Joseph C. Butner, Esq. Yavapai County Attorney	
17	Prescott courthouse basket	
18	2	
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		